arXiv:math/0612339v2 [math.RT] 14 Dec 2006

LATTICE REPRESENTATIONS OF HEISENBERG GROUPS

Jae-Hyun Yang

1 Introduction

For any positive integers g and h, we consider the Heisenberg group

$$H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)} := \left\{ (\lambda,\mu,\kappa) \mid \lambda,\mu\in\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)},\ \kappa\in\mathbb{R}^{(h,h)},\ \kappa+\mu\,{}^t\lambda \text{ symmetric} \right\}.$$

Recall that the multiplication law is

$$(\lambda,\mu,\kappa)\circ(\lambda',\mu',\kappa') := (\lambda+\lambda',\mu+\mu',\kappa+\kappa'+\lambda\,{}^t\mu'-\mu\,{}^t\lambda').$$

Here $\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^{(h,h)}$) denotes the set of all $h\times g$ (resp. $h\times h$) real matrices.

The Heisenberg group $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)}$ is embedded to the symplectic group $Sp(g+h,\mathbb{R})$ via the mapping

$$H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)} \ni (\lambda,\mu,\kappa) \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} E_g & 0 & 0 & {}^t\mu \\ \lambda & E_h & \mu & \kappa \\ 0 & 0 & E_g & -{}^t\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & E_h \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(g+h,\mathbb{R}).$$

This Heisenberg group is a 2-step nilpotent Lie group and is important in the study of toroidal compactifications of Siegel moduli spaces. In fact, $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)}$ is obtained as the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of $Sp(g+h,\mathbb{R})$ associated with the rational boundary component F_g (cf. [F-C] p. 123 or [N] p. 21). For the motivation of the study of this Heisenberg group we refer to [Y4]-[Y8] and [Z]. We refer to [Y1]-[Y3] for more results on $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)}$.

This work was partially supported by the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik and TGRC-KOSEF.

Keywords : Heisenberg groups, Schrödinger representations, lattice representations, theta functions

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

In [C], P. Cartier stated without proof that for $h = 1$, the lattice representation of $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,1)}$ associated to the lattice L is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum of $[L^* : L]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ copies of the Schrödinger representation of $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,1)}$, where L^* is the dual lattice of L with respect to a certain nondegenerate alternating bilinear form. R. Berndt proved that the above fact for the case $h = 1$ in his lecture notes [B]. In this paper, we give a complete proof of Cartier's theorem for $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)}$.

Main Theorem. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a positive definite, symmetric half-integral matrix of degree h and L be a self-dual lattice in $\mathbb{C}^{(h,g)}$. Then the lattice representation $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}$ of $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)}$ associated with L and $\mathcal{M}$ is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum of $(\det 2\mathcal{M})^g$ copies of the Schrödinger representation of $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)}$. For more details, we refer to Section 3.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the Schrödinger representations of the Heisenberg group $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)}$. In Section 3, we prove the main theorem. In the final section, we provide a relation between lattice representations and theta functions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This work was in part done during my stay at the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn. I am very grateful to the institute for hospitality and financial support. I also would like to give my hearty thanks to the Department of Mathematics at Harvard University for its hospitality during my short stay in Cambridge.

NOTATIONS: We denote by $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ the ring of integers, the field of real numbers, and the field of complex numbers respectively. The symbol $\mathbb{C}_1^{\times}$ denotes the multiplicative group consisting of all complex numbers z with $|z| = 1$, and the symbol $Sp(g,\mathbb{R})$ the symplectic group of degree g, H_g the Siegel upper half plane of degree g. The symbol ":=" means that the expression on the right hand side is the definition of that on the left. We denote by $\mathbb{Z}^+$ the set of all positive integers, by $F^{(k,l)}$ the set of all $k \times l$ matrices with entries in a commutative ring F. For any $M \in F^{(k,l)}$, ${}^tM$ denotes the transpose matrix of M. For $A \in F^{(k,k)}$, $\sigma(A)$ denotes the trace of A. For $A \in F^{(k,l)}$ and $B \in F^{(k,k)}$, we set $B[A] = {}^tABA$. We denote the identity matrix of degree k by E_k. For a positive integer n, $\mathrm{Symm}\,(n,K)$ denotes the vector space consisting of all symmetric $n \times n$ matrices with entries in a field K.

2 SCHRÖDINGER REPRESENTATIONS

First of all, we observe that $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)}$ is a 2-step nilpotent Lie group. It is easy to see that the inverse of an element $(\lambda,\mu,\kappa)\in H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)}$ is given by

$$(\lambda,\mu,\kappa)^{-1}=(-\lambda,-\mu,-\kappa+\lambda\,{}^t\mu-\mu\,{}^t\lambda).$$

Now we set

$$[\lambda,\mu,\kappa]:=(0,\mu,\kappa)\circ(\lambda,0,0)=(\lambda,\mu,\kappa-\mu\,{}^t\lambda). \tag{2.1}$$

Then $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)}$ may be regarded as a group equipped with the following multiplication

$$[\lambda,\mu,\kappa]\diamond[\lambda_0,\mu_0,\kappa_0]:=[\lambda+\lambda_0,\mu+\mu_0,\kappa+\kappa_0+\lambda\,{}^t\mu_0+\mu_0\,{}^t\lambda]. \tag{2.2}$$

The inverse of $[\lambda,\mu,\kappa]\in H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)}$ is given by

$$[\lambda,\mu,\kappa]^{-1}=[-\lambda,-\mu,-\kappa+\lambda\,{}^t\mu+\mu\,{}^t\lambda].$$

We set

$$K:=\left\{\,[0,\mu,\kappa]\in H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)}\;\middle|\;\mu\in\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)},\ \kappa={}^t\kappa\in\mathbb{R}^{(h,h)}\,\right\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Then K is a commutative normal subgroup of $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)}$. Let $\hat{K}$ be the Pontrajagin dual of K, i.e., the commutative group consisting of all unitary characters of K. Then $\hat{K}$ is isomorphic to the additive group $\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}\times\mathrm{Symm}\,(h,\mathbb{R})$ via

$$<a,\hat{a}>:=e^{2\pi i\sigma(\hat{\mu}\,{}^t\mu+\hat{\kappa}\kappa)},\quad a=[0,\mu,\kappa]\in K,\ \hat{a}=(\hat{\mu},\hat{\kappa})\in\hat{K}. \tag{2.4}$$

We put

$$S:=\left\{\,[\lambda,0,0]\in H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)}\;\middle|\;\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}\,\right\}\cong\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}. \tag{2.5}$$

Then S acts on K as follows:

$$\alpha_\lambda([0,\mu,\kappa]):=[0,\mu,\kappa+\lambda\,{}^t\mu+\mu\,{}^t\lambda],\quad[\lambda,0,0]\in S. \tag{2.6}$$

It is easy to see that the Heisenberg group $\left(H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)},\diamond\right)$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $S\ltimes K$ of S and K whose multiplication is given by

$$(\lambda,a)\cdot(\lambda_0,a_0):=(\lambda+\lambda_0,a+\alpha_\lambda(a_0)),\quad\lambda,\lambda_0\in S,\ a,a_0\in K.$$

On the other hand, S acts on $\hat{K}$ by

$$\alpha_\lambda^*(\hat{a}):=(\hat{\mu}+2\hat{\kappa}\lambda,\hat{\kappa}),\quad[\lambda,0,0]\in S,\quad a=(\hat{\mu},\hat{\kappa})\in\hat{K}. \tag{2.7}$$

Then, we have the relation $<\alpha_\lambda(a),\hat{a}>=<a,\alpha_\lambda^*(\hat{a})>$ for all $a\in K$ and $\hat{a}\in\hat{K}$.

We have two types of S-orbits in $\hat{K}$.

TYPE I. Let $\hat{\kappa} \in \mathrm{Symm}\,(h,\mathbb{R})$ with $\hat{\kappa} \neq 0$. The S-orbit of $\hat{a}(\hat{\kappa}) := (0,\hat{\kappa}) \in \hat{K}$ is given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\hat{\kappa}} := \left\{ (2\hat{\kappa}\lambda,\hat{\kappa}) \in \hat{K} \;\middle|\; \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{(h,g)} \right\} \cong \mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}. \tag{2.8}$$

TYPE II. Let $\hat{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}$. The S-orbit $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\hat{y}}$ of $\hat{a}(\hat{y}) := (\hat{y},0)$ is given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\hat{y}} := \{ (\hat{y},0) \} = \hat{a}(\hat{y}). \tag{2.9}$$

We have

$$\hat{K} = \left(\bigcup_{\hat{\kappa}\in \mathrm{Symm}(h,\mathbb{R})} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\hat{\kappa}} \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{\hat{y}\in\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\hat{y}} \right)$$

as a set. The stabilizer $S_{\hat{\kappa}}$ of S at $\hat{a}(\hat{\kappa}) = (0,\hat{\kappa})$ is given by

$$S_{\hat{\kappa}} = \{0\}. \tag{2.10}$$

And the stabilizer $S_{\hat{y}}$ of S at $\hat{a}(\hat{y}) = (\hat{y},0)$ is given by

$$S_{\hat{y}} = \left\{ [\lambda,0,0] \;\middle|\; \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{(h,g)} \right\} = S \cong \mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}. \tag{2.11}$$

From now on, we set $G := H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(g,h)}$ for brevity. It is known that K is a closed, commutative normal subgroup of G. Since $(\lambda,\mu,\kappa) = (0,\mu,\kappa+\mu\,{}^t\lambda)\circ(\lambda,0,0)$ for $(\lambda,\mu,\kappa)\in G$, the homogeneous space $X := K\backslash G$ can be identified with $\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}$ via

$$Kg = K\circ(\lambda,0,0) \longmapsto \lambda, \quad g = (\lambda,\mu,\kappa)\in G.$$

We observe that G acts on X by

$$(Kg)\cdot g_0 := K\,(\lambda+\lambda_0,0,0) = \lambda+\lambda_0, \tag{2.12}$$

where $g = (\lambda,\mu,\kappa)\in G$ and $g_0 = (\lambda_0,\mu_0,\kappa_0)\in G$.

If $g = (\lambda,\mu,\kappa)\in G$, we have

$$k_g = (0,\mu,\kappa+\mu\,{}^t\lambda), \quad s_g = (\lambda,0,0) \tag{2.13}$$

in the Mackey decomposition of $g = k_g\circ s_g$ (cf. [M]). Thus if $g_0 = (\lambda_0,\mu_0,\kappa_0)\in G$, then we have

$$s_g\circ g_0 = (\lambda,0,0)\circ(\lambda_0,\mu_0,\kappa_0) = (\lambda+\lambda_0,\mu_0,\kappa_0+\lambda\,{}^t\mu_0) \tag{2.14}$$

and so

$$k_{s_g\circ g_0}=(0,\mu_0,\kappa_0+\mu_0\,{}^t\lambda_0+\lambda\,{}^t\mu_0+\mu_0\,{}^t\lambda). \tag{2.15}$$

For a real symmetric matrix $c={}^tc\in\mathbb{R}^{(h,h)}$ with $c\neq 0$, we consider the one-dimensional unitary representation σ_c of K defined by

$$\sigma_c\left((0,\mu,\kappa)\right):=e^{2\pi i\sigma(c\kappa)}\,I,\quad (0,\mu,\kappa)\in K, \tag{2.16}$$

where I denotes the identity mapping. Then the induced representation $U(\sigma_c):=\operatorname{Ind}_K^G\sigma_c$ of G induced from σ_c is realized in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_c}=L^2(X,d\dot{g},\mathbb{C})\cong L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)},d\xi\right)$ as follows. If $g_0=(\lambda_0,\mu_0,\kappa_0)\in G$ and $x=Kg\in X$ with $g=(\lambda,\mu,\kappa)\in G$, we have

$$\left(U_{g_0}(\sigma_c)f\right)(x)=\sigma_c\left(k_{s_g\circ g_0}\right)(f(xg_0)),\quad f\in\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_c}. \tag{2.17}$$

It follows from (2.15) that

$$\left(U_{g_0}(\sigma_c)f\right)(\lambda)=e^{2\pi i\sigma\{c(\kappa_0+\mu_0\,{}^t\lambda_0+2\lambda\,{}^t\mu_0)\}}\,f(\lambda+\lambda_0). \tag{2.18}$$

Here, we identified $x=Kg$ (resp. $xg_0=Kgg_0$) with λ (resp. $\lambda+\lambda_0$). The induced representation $U(\sigma_c)$ is called the *Schrödinger representation* of G associated with σ_c. Thus $U(\sigma_c)$ is a monomial representation.

Now, we denote by $\mathcal{H}^{\sigma_c}$ the Hilbert space consisting of all functions $\phi:G\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$ which satisfy the following conditions:

(1) $\phi(g)$ is measurable with respect to dg,
(2) $\phi\left((0,\mu,\kappa)\circ g)\right)=e^{2\pi i\sigma(c\kappa)}\phi(g)\quad$ for all $g\in G$,
(3) $\parallel\phi\parallel^2:=\int_X|\phi(g)|^2\,d\dot{g}<\infty,\quad \dot{g}=Kg$,

where dg (resp. $d\dot{g}$) is a G-invariant measure on G (resp. $X=K\backslash G$). The inner product $(\ ,\ )$ on $\mathcal{H}^{\sigma_c}$ is given by

$$(\phi_1,\phi_2):=\int_G\phi_1(g)\,\overline{\phi_2(g)}\,dg\qquad\text{for }\phi_1,\,\phi_2\in\mathcal{H}^{\sigma_c}.$$

We observe that the mapping $\Phi_c:\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_c}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}^{\sigma_c}$ defined by

$$\left(\Phi_c(f)\right)(g):=e^{2\pi i\sigma\{c(\kappa+\mu\,{}^t\lambda)\}}\,f(\lambda),\quad f\in\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_c},\ g=(\lambda,\mu,\kappa)\in G \tag{2.19}$$

is an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces. The inverse $\Psi_c:\mathcal{H}^{\sigma_c}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}_{\sigma_c}$ of Φ_c is given by

$$\left(\Psi_c(\phi)\right)(\lambda):=\phi((\lambda,0,0)),\quad \phi\in\mathcal{H}^{\sigma_c},\ \lambda\in\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}. \tag{2.20}$$

The Schrödinger representation $U(\sigma_c)$ of G on $\mathcal{H}^{\sigma_c}$ is given by

$$(U_{g_0}(\sigma_c)\phi)\,(g) = e^{2\pi i\sigma\{c(\kappa_0+\mu_0\,{}^t\lambda_0+\lambda\,{}^t\mu_0-\lambda_0\,{}^t\mu)\}}\,\phi\,((\lambda_0,0,0)\circ g)\,, \tag{2.21}$$

where $g_0 = (\lambda_0, \mu_0, \kappa_0),\ g = (\lambda, \mu, \kappa) \in G$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^{\sigma_c}$. (2.21) can be expressed as follows.

$$(\,U_{g_0}(\sigma_c)\phi\,)\,(g) = e^{2\pi i\sigma\{c(\kappa_0+\kappa+\mu_0\,{}^t\lambda_0+\mu\,{}^t\lambda+2\lambda\,{}^t\mu_0)\}}\,\phi((\lambda_0+\lambda,0,0)). \tag{2.22}$$

Theorem 2.1. Let c be a positive symmetric half-integral matrix of degree h. Then the Schrödinger representation $U(\sigma_c)$ of G is irreducible.

Proof. The proof can be found in [Y1], theorem 3. □

3 PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Let $L := \mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)} \times \mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}$ be the lattice in the vector space $V \cong \mathbb{C}^{(h,g)}$. Let B be an alternating bilinear form on V such that $B(L, L) \subset \mathbb{Z}$, that is, $\mathbb{Z}$-valued on $L \times L$. The dual L^*_B of L with respect to B is defined by

$$L^*_B := \{\, v \in V \mid B(v, l) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } l \in L\,\}\,.$$

Then $L \subset L^*_B$. If B is nondegenerate, L^*_B is also a lattice in V, called the *dual lattice* of L. In case B is nondegenerate, there exist a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{\,\xi_{11}, \xi_{12}, \cdots, \xi_{hg}, \eta_{11}, \eta_{12}, \cdots, \eta_{hg}\,\}$ of L and a set $\{\, e_{11}, e_{12}, \cdots, e_{hg}\,\}$ of positive integers such that $e_{11}|e_{12},\ e_{12}|e_{13}, \cdots, e_{h,g-1}|e_{hg}$ for which

$$\begin{pmatrix} B(\xi_{ka}, \xi_{lb}) & B(\xi_{ka}, \eta_{lb}) \\ B(\eta_{ka}, \xi_{lb}) & B(\eta_{ka}, \eta_{lb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e \\ -e & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $1 \leq k, l \leq h,\ 1 \leq a, b \leq g$ and $e := \operatorname{diag}(e_{11}, e_{12}, \cdots, e_{hg})$ is the diagonal matrix of degree hg with entries $e_{11}, e_{12}, \cdots, e_{hg}$. It is well known that $[L^*_B : L] = (\det e\,)^2 = (e_{11}e_{12}\cdots e_{hg})^2$ (cf. [I] p. 72). The number $\det e$ is called the *Pfaffian* of B.

Now, we consider the following subgroups of G:

$$\Gamma_L := \left\{\, (\lambda, \mu, \kappa) \in G \mid (\lambda, \mu) \in L,\ \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{(h,h)} \,\right\} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\Gamma_{L^*_B} := \left\{\, (\lambda, \mu, \kappa) \in G \mid (\lambda, \mu) \in L^*_B,\ \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{(h,h)} \,\right\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Then both Γ_L and $\Gamma_{L_B^*}$ are normal subgroups of G. We set

$$\mathscr{Z}_0 := \Big\{ (0,0,\kappa) \in G \mid \kappa = {}^t\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^{(h,h)} \text{ integral} \Big\}. \tag{3.3}$$

It is easy to show that

$$\Gamma_{L_B^*} = \big\{ g \in G \mid g\gamma g^{-1}\gamma^{-1} \in \mathscr{Z}_0 \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma_L \big\}.$$

We define

$$Y_L := \big\{ \varphi \in \text{ Hom}\,(\Gamma_L, \mathbb{C}_1^\times) \mid \varphi \text{ is trivial on } \mathscr{Z}_0 \big\} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$Y_{L,S} := \Big\{ \varphi \in Y_L \mid \varphi(\kappa) = e^{2\pi i \sigma(S\kappa)} \text{ for all } \kappa = {}^t\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{(h,h)} \Big\} \tag{3.5}$$

for each symmetric real matrix S of degree h. We observe that, if S is not half-integral, then $Y_L = \emptyset$ and so $Y_{L,S} = \emptyset$. It is clear that, if S is symmetric half-integral, then $Y_{L,S}$ is not empty.

Thus we have

$$Y_L = \cup_{\mathcal{M}}\, Y_{L,\mathcal{M}}, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ runs through the set of all symmetric half-integral matrices of degree h.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a symmetric half-integral matrix of degree h with $\mathcal{M} \neq 0$. Then any element φ of $Y_{L,\mathcal{M}}$ is of the form $\varphi_{\mathcal{M},q}$. Here $\varphi_{\mathcal{M},q}$ is the character of Γ_L defined by

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{M},q}((l,\kappa)) := e^{2\pi i \sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa)} \cdot e^{\pi i q(l)} \quad \text{for } (l,\kappa) \in \Gamma_L, \tag{3.7}$$

where $q : L \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong [0,2)$ is a function on L satisfying the following condition:

$$q(l_0 + l_1) \equiv q(l_0) \,+\, q(l_1) - 2\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\lambda_0\, {}^t\mu_1 - \mu_0\, {}^t\lambda_1)\} \pmod{2} \tag{3.8}$$

for all $l_0 = (\lambda_0, \mu_0) \in L$ and $l_1 = (\lambda_1, \mu_1) \in L$.

Proof. (3.8) follows immediately from the fact that $\varphi_{\mathcal{M},q}$ is a character of Γ_L. It is obvious that any element of $Y_{L,\mathcal{M}}$ is of the form $\varphi_{\mathcal{M},q}$. □

Lemma 3.2. An element of $Y_{L,0}$ is of the form $\varphi_{k,l}\,(k,l \in \mathbb{R}^{(h,g)})$. Here $\varphi_{k,l}$ is the character of Γ_L defined by

$$\varphi_{k,l}(\gamma) := e^{2\pi i \sigma(k\, {}^t\lambda + l\, {}^t\mu)}, \quad \gamma = (\lambda, \mu, \kappa) \in \Gamma_L. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. It is easy to prove and so we omit the proof. □

Lemma 3.3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a nonsingular symmetric half-integral matrix of degree h. Let $\varphi_{\mathcal{M},q_1}$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{M},q_2}$ be the characters of Γ_L defined by (3.7). The character φ of Γ_L defined by $\varphi := \varphi_{\mathcal{M},q_1} \cdot \varphi^{-1}_{\mathcal{M},q_2}$ is an element of $Y_{L,0}$.

Proof. It follows from the existence of an element $g = (\mathcal{M}^{-1}\lambda, \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mu, 0) \in G$ with $(\lambda, \mu) \in V$ such that

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{M},q_1}(\gamma) = \varphi_{\mathcal{M},q_2}(g\gamma g^{-1}) \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma_L.$$

□

For a unitary character $\varphi_{\mathcal{M},q}$ of Γ_L defined by (3.7), we let

$$\pi_{\mathcal{M},q} := \ \mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma_L}^G \varphi_{\mathcal{M},q} \tag{3.10}$$

be the representation of G induced from $\varphi_{\mathcal{M},q}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},q}$ be the Hilbert space consisting of all measurable functions $\phi : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

(L1) $\phi(\gamma g) = \varphi_{\mathcal{M},q}(\gamma)\, \phi(g)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$ and $g \in G$.
(L2) $\|\phi\|^2_{\mathcal{M},q} := \int_{\Gamma_L \backslash G} |\phi(\bar{g})|^2 \, d\bar{g} < \infty, \quad \bar{g} = \Gamma_L g$.

The induced representation $\pi_{\mathcal{M},q}$ is realized in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},q}$ as follows:

$$\Big(\pi_{\mathcal{M},q}(g_0)\phi\Big)(g) := \phi(gg_0), \quad g_0, g \in G,\ \phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},q}. \tag{3.11}$$

The representation $\pi_{\mathcal{M},q}$ is called the *lattice representation* of G associated with the lattice L.

Main Theorem. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a positive definite, symmetric half integral matrix of degree h. Let $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the character of Γ_L defined by $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}((\lambda, \mu, \kappa)) := e^{2\pi i \sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa)}$ for all $(\lambda, \mu, \kappa) \in \Gamma_L$. Then the lattice representation

$$\pi_{\mathcal{M}} := \ \mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma_L}^G \varphi_{\mathcal{M}}$$

induced from the character $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum

$$\bigoplus U(\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}) := \bigoplus \mathrm{Ind}_K^G \sigma_{\mathcal{M}} \quad (\,(\det 2\mathcal{M}\,)^g\text{-copies}\,)$$

of the Schrödinger representation $\mathrm{Ind}_K^G \sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Proof. We first recall that the induced representation $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is realized in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}$ consisting of all measurable functions $\phi : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the conditions

$$\phi((\lambda_0,\mu_0,\kappa_0)\circ g) = e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa_0)}\,\phi(g),\quad (\lambda_0,\mu_0,\kappa_0)\in\Gamma_L,\ g\in G \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$\|\phi\|^2_{\pi,\mathcal{M}} := \int_{\Gamma_L\backslash G} |\phi(\bar{g})|^2\,d\bar{g} < \infty,\quad \bar{g} = \Gamma_L\circ g. \tag{3.14}$$

Now, we write

$$g_0 = [\lambda_0,\mu_0,\kappa_0]\in\Gamma_L \ \ \textit{and}\ \ g = [\lambda,\mu,\kappa]\in G.$$

For $\phi\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}$, we have

$$\phi(g_0\diamond g) = \phi([\lambda_0+\lambda,\mu_0+\mu,\kappa_0+\kappa+\lambda_0\,{}^t\mu+\mu\,{}^t\lambda_0]). \tag{3.15}$$

On the other hand, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(g_0\diamond g) &= \phi((\lambda_0,\mu_0,\kappa_0-\mu_0\,{}^t\lambda_0)\circ g)\\ &= e^{2\pi i\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\kappa_0-\mu_0\,{}^t\lambda_0)\}}\,\phi(g)\\ &= e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa_0)}\,\phi(g)\quad (\,\text{because }\sigma(\mathcal{M}\mu_0\,{}^t\lambda_0)\in\mathbb{Z}\,)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, putting $\kappa' := \kappa_0+\lambda_0\,{}^t\mu+\mu\,{}^t\lambda_0$, we get

$$\phi([\lambda_0+\lambda,\mu_0+\mu,\kappa+\kappa']) = e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa')}\cdot e^{-4\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\lambda_0\,{}^t\mu)}\,\phi([\lambda,\mu,\kappa]). \tag{3.16}$$

Putting $\lambda_0=\kappa_0=0$ in (3.16), we have

$$\phi([\lambda,\mu+\mu_0,\kappa]) = \phi([\lambda,\mu,\kappa])\ \text{ for all } \mu_0\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)} \text{ and } [\lambda,\mu,\kappa]\in G. \tag{3.17}$$

Therefore if we fix λ and κ, ϕ is periodic in μ with respect to the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}$. We note that

$$\phi([\lambda,\mu,\kappa]) = \phi([0,0,\kappa]\diamond[\lambda,\mu,0]) = e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa)}\,\phi([\lambda,\mu,0])$$

for $[\lambda,\mu,\kappa]\in G$. Hence, ϕ admits a Fourier expansion in μ :

$$\phi([\lambda,\mu,\kappa]) = e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa)}\sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} c_N(\lambda)\,e^{2\pi i\sigma(N\,{}^t\mu)}. \tag{3.18}$$

If $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi([\lambda+\lambda_0,\mu,\kappa]) &= e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa)} \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} c_N(\lambda+\lambda_0)\, e^{2\pi i\sigma(N\,{}^t\mu)} \\ &= e^{-4\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\lambda_0\,{}^t\mu)}\, \phi([\lambda,\mu,\kappa]) \qquad (\text{ by } (3.16)\,) \\ &= e^{-4\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\lambda_0\,{}^t\mu)}\, e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa)} \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} c_N(\lambda)\, e^{2\pi i\sigma(N\,{}^t\mu)}, \\ &= e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa)} \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} c_N(\lambda)\, e^{2\pi i\sigma\{(N-2\mathcal{M}\lambda_0)\,{}^t\mu\}}. \quad (\text{ by } (3.18)\,)\end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} c_N(\lambda+\lambda_0)\, e^{2\pi i\sigma(N\,{}^t\mu)} \\ =& \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} c_N(\lambda)\, e^{2\pi i\sigma\{(N-2\mathcal{M}\lambda_0)\,{}^t\mu\}} \\ =& \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} c_{N+2\mathcal{M}\lambda_0}(\lambda)\, e^{2\pi i\sigma(N\,{}^t\mu)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$c_N(\lambda+\lambda_0) = c_{N+2\mathcal{M}\lambda_0}(\lambda) \quad \text{for all } \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)} \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}. \tag{3.19}$$

Consequently, it is enough to know only the coefficients $c_\alpha(\lambda)$ for the representatives α in $\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}$ modulo $2\mathcal{M}$. It is obvious that the number of all such α's is $(\det 2\mathcal{M})^g$. We denote by $\mathcal{J}$ a complete system of such representatives in $\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}$ modulo $2\mathcal{M}$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi([\lambda,\mu,\kappa]) = e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa)} &\left\{ \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} c_{\alpha+2\mathcal{M}N}(\lambda)\, e^{2\pi i\sigma\{(\alpha+2\mathcal{M}N)\,{}^t\mu\}} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} c_{\beta+2\mathcal{M}N}(\lambda)\, e^{2\pi i\sigma\{(\beta+2\mathcal{M}N)\,{}^t\mu\}} \\ &\cdot \\ &\cdot \\ &\left. + \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} c_{\gamma+2\mathcal{M}N}(\lambda)\, e^{2\pi i\{(\gamma+2\mathcal{M}N)\,{}^t\mu\}} \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where $\{\,\alpha,\beta,\cdots,\gamma\,\}$ denotes the complete system $\mathcal{J}$.

For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}$ the Hilbert space consisting of Fourier expansions

$$e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa)} \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} c_{\alpha+2\mathcal{M}N}(\lambda)\, e^{2\pi i\sigma\{\,(\alpha+2\mathcal{M}N)\,{}^t\mu\}}, \quad (\lambda,\mu,\kappa)\in G,$$

where $c_N(\lambda)$ denotes the coefficients of the Fourier expansion (3.18) of $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and ϕ runs over the set $\{\,\phi \in \pi_{\mathcal{M}}\,\}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}$ is invariant under $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}$. We denote the restriction of $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}$ by $\pi_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}$. Then we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{M}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}} \pi_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}. \tag{3.20}$$

Let $\phi_\alpha \in \pi_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}$. Then for $[\lambda,\mu,\kappa]\in G$, we get

$$\phi_\alpha([\lambda,\mu,\kappa]) = e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa)} \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} c_{\alpha+2\mathcal{M}N}(\lambda)\, e^{2\pi i\sigma\{(\alpha+2\mathcal{M}N)\,{}^t\mu\}}. \tag{3.21}$$

We put

$$I_\lambda := \overbrace{[0,1]\times[0,1]\times\cdots\times[0,1]}^{(h\times g)\text{-times}} \subset \left\{\,[\lambda,0,0]\mid \lambda\in\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}\,\right\}$$

and

$$I_\mu := \overbrace{[0,1]\times[0,1]\times\cdots\times[0,1]}^{(h\times g)\text{-times}} \subset \left\{\,[0,\mu,0]\mid \mu\in\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}\right\}.$$

Then, we obtain

$$\int_{I_\mu} \phi_\alpha([\lambda,\mu,\kappa])\, e^{-2\pi i\sigma(\alpha\,{}^t\mu)}\, d\mu = e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa)}\, c_\alpha(\lambda), \quad \alpha\in\mathcal{J}. \tag{3.22}$$

Since $\Gamma_L\backslash G \cong I_\lambda \times I_\mu$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi_\alpha\|^2_{\pi,\mathcal{M},\alpha} :&= \|\phi_\alpha\|^2_{\pi,\mathcal{M}} = \int_{\Gamma_L\backslash G} |\phi_\alpha(\bar g)|^2\, d\bar g \\
&= \int_{I_\lambda}\int_{I_\mu} |\phi_\alpha(\bar g)|^2\, d\lambda d\mu \\
&= \int_{I_\lambda\times I_\mu} \left| \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} c_{\alpha+2\mathcal{M}N}(\lambda)\, e^{2\pi i\sigma\{(\alpha+2\mathcal{M}N)\,{}^t\mu\}} \right|^2 d\lambda d\mu \\
&= \int_{I_\lambda} \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} |c_{\alpha+2\mathcal{M}N}(\lambda)|^2\, d\lambda \\
&= \int_{I_\lambda} \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} |c_\alpha(\lambda+N)|^2\, d\lambda \qquad (\text{ by } (3.19)\,) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}} |c_\alpha(\lambda)|^2\, d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi_\alpha \in \pi_{\mathcal{M},\alpha},\ \|\phi_\alpha\|_{\pi,\mathcal{M},\alpha} < \infty$ and so $c_\alpha(\lambda) \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}, d\xi\right)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$.

For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, we define the mapping $\vartheta_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}$ on $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}, d\xi\right)$ by

$$(\vartheta_{\mathcal{M},\alpha} f)([\lambda,\mu,\kappa]) := e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa)} \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} f(\lambda+N)\, e^{2\pi i\sigma\{(\alpha+2\mathcal{M}N)\,{}^t\mu\}}, \tag{3.23}$$

where $f \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}, d\xi\right)$ and $[\lambda,\mu,\kappa] \in G$.

Lemma 3.4. For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, the image of $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}, d\xi\right)$ under $\vartheta_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}$ is contained in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}$. Moreover, the mapping $\vartheta_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}$ is a one-to-one unitary operator of $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}, d\xi\right)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}$ preserving the norms. In other words, the mapping

$$\vartheta_{\mathcal{M},\alpha} : L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}, d\xi\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}$$

is an isometry.

Proof. We already showed that $\vartheta_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}$ preserves the norms. First, we observe that if $(\lambda_0,\mu_0,\kappa_0) \in \Gamma_L$ and $g = [\lambda,\mu,\kappa] \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda_0,\mu_0,\kappa_0)\circ g &= [\lambda_0,\mu_0,\kappa_0+\mu_0\,{}^t\lambda_0] \diamond [\lambda,\mu,\kappa] \\ &= [\lambda_0+\lambda, \mu_0+\mu, \kappa+\kappa_0+\mu_0\,{}^t\lambda_0+\lambda_0\,{}^t\mu+\mu\,{}^t\lambda_0].\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(\vartheta_{\mathcal{M},\alpha} f)((\lambda_0,\mu_0,\kappa_0)\circ g) \\ &= e^{2\pi i\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\kappa+\kappa_0+\mu_0\,{}^t\lambda_0+\lambda_0\,{}^t\mu+\mu\,{}^t\lambda_0)\}} \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} f(\lambda+\lambda_0+N)\, e^{2\pi i\{(\alpha+2\mathcal{M}N)\,{}^t(\mu_0+\mu)\}} \\ &= e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa_0)}\cdot e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa)}\cdot e^{2\pi i\sigma(\alpha\,{}^t\mu_0)} \sum_{N\in\mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}} f(\lambda+N)\, e^{2\pi i\sigma\{(\alpha+2\mathcal{M}N)\,{}^t\mu\}} \\ &= e^{2\pi i\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa_0)}\,(\vartheta_{\mathcal{M},\alpha} f)(g).\end{aligned}$$

Here, in the above equalities we used the facts that $2\sigma(\mathcal{M}N\,{}^t\mu_0) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha\,{}^t\mu_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to show that

$$\int_{\Gamma_L\backslash G} |\vartheta_{\mathcal{M},\alpha} f(\bar{g})|^2\, d\bar{g} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}} |f(\lambda)|^2\, d\lambda = \|f\|_2^2 < \infty.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Finally, it is easy to show that for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, the mapping $\vartheta_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}$ intertwines the Schrödinger representation $\big(U(\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}), L^2(\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}, d\xi)\big)$ and the representation $(\pi_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},\alpha})$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.4, for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, $\pi_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}$ is unitarily equivalent to $U(\sigma_{\mathcal{M}})$ and so $\pi_{\mathcal{M},\alpha}$ is an irreducible unitary representation of G. According to (3.20), the induced representation $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}$ is unitarily equivalent to

$$\bigoplus U(\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}) \quad (\,(\det 2\mathcal{M})^g\text{-copies}\,).$$

This completes the proof of the Main Theorem. □

4 Relation of Lattice Representations to Theta Functions

In this section, we state the connection between lattice representations and theta functions. As before, we write $V = \mathbb{R}^{(h,g)} \times \mathbb{R}^{(h,g)} \cong \mathbb{C}^{(h,g)}$, $L = \mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)} \times \mathbb{Z}^{(h,g)}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a positive symmetric half-integral matrix of degree h. The function $q_{\mathcal{M}} : L \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z} = [0,2)$ defined by

$$q_{\mathcal{M}}((\xi,\eta)) := 2\,\sigma(\mathcal{M}\xi\,{}^t\eta), \quad (\xi,\eta) \in L \tag{4.1}$$

satisfies Condition (3.8). We let $\varphi_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}} : \Gamma_L \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_1^\times$ be the character of Γ_L defined by

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}}((l,\kappa)) = e^{2\pi i\,\sigma(\mathcal{M}\kappa)}\, e^{\pi i\, q_{\mathcal{M}}(l)}, \quad (l,\kappa) \in \Gamma_L.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}}$ the Hilbert space consisting of measurable functions $\phi : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which satisfy Condition (4.2) and Condition (4.3):

$$\phi((l,\kappa)\circ g) = \varphi_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}}((l,\kappa))\,\phi(g) \quad \text{for all } (l,\kappa) \in \Gamma_L \text{ and } g \in G. \tag{4.2}$$

$$\int_{\Gamma_L \backslash G} \|\phi(\dot{g})\|^2\, d\dot{g} < \infty, \quad \dot{g} = \Gamma_L \circ g. \tag{4.3}$$

Then the lattice representation

$$\pi_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}} := \operatorname{Ind}_{\Gamma_L}^G \varphi_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}}$$

of G induced from the character $\varphi_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}}$ is realized in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}}$ as

$$(\,\pi_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}}(g_0)\,\phi\,)\,(g) = \phi(gg_0), \quad g_0, g \in G,\ \phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}}.$$

Let $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}}$ be the vector space consisting of measurable functions $F : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying Conditions (4.4) and (4.5).

$$F(\lambda+\xi, \mu+\eta) = e^{2\pi i\,\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\xi\,{}^t\eta + \lambda\,{}^t\eta - \mu\,{}^t\xi)\}}\, F(\lambda,\mu) \tag{4.4}$$

for all $(\lambda,\mu) \in V$ and $(\xi,\eta) \in L$.

$$\int_{L\backslash V} \|F(\dot{v})\|^2\, d\dot{v} = \int_{I_\lambda \times I_\mu} \|F(\lambda,\mu)\|^2\, d\lambda d\mu < \infty. \tag{4.5}$$

Given $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}}$ and a fixed element $\Omega \in H_g$, we put

$$E_\phi(\lambda,\mu) := \phi((\lambda,\mu,0)), \quad \lambda,\mu\in\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$F_\phi(\lambda,\mu) := \phi([\lambda,\mu,0]), \quad \lambda,\mu\in\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$F_{\Omega,\phi}(\lambda,\mu) := e^{-2\pi i\,\sigma(\mathcal{M}\lambda\Omega\,{}^t\lambda)}\,F_\phi(\lambda,\mu), \quad \lambda,\mu\in\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}. \tag{4.8}$$

In addition, we put for $W=\lambda\Omega+\mu\in\mathbb{C}^{(h,g)}$,

$$\vartheta_{\Omega,\phi}(W) := \vartheta_{\Omega,\phi}(\lambda\Omega+\mu) := F_{\Omega,\phi}(\lambda,\mu). \tag{4.9}$$

We observe that E_ϕ, F_ϕ and $F_{\Omega,\phi}$ are functions defined on V and $\vartheta_{\Omega,\phi}$ is a function defined on $\mathbb{C}^{(h,g)}$.

Proposition 4.1. If $\phi\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}}$, $(\xi,\eta)\in L$ and $(\lambda,\mu)\in V$, then we have the formulas

$$E_\phi(\lambda+\xi,\mu+\eta) \,=\, e^{2\pi i\,\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\xi\,{}^t\eta+\lambda\,{}^t\eta-\mu\,{}^t\xi)\}}\,E_\phi(\lambda,\mu). \tag{4.10}$$

$$F_\phi(\lambda+\xi,\mu+\eta) \,=\, e^{-4\pi i\,\sigma(\mathcal{M}\xi\,{}^t\mu)}\,F_\phi(\lambda,\mu). \tag{4.11}$$

$$F_{\Omega,\phi}(\lambda+\xi,\mu+\eta) \,=\, e^{-2\pi i\,\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\xi\Omega\,{}^t\xi+2\lambda\Omega\,{}^t\xi+2\mu\,{}^t\xi)\}}\,F_{\Omega,\phi}(\lambda,\mu). \tag{4.12}$$

If $W=\lambda\Omega+\eta\in\mathbb{C}^{(h,g)}$, then we have

$$\vartheta_{\Omega,\phi}(W+\xi\Omega+\eta) \,=\, e^{-2\pi i\,\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\xi\Omega\,{}^t\xi+2W\,{}^t\xi)\}}\,\vartheta_{\Omega,\phi}(W). \tag{4.13}$$

Moreover, F_ϕ is an element of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}}$.

Proof. We note that

$$(\lambda+\xi,\mu+\eta,0) = (\xi,\eta,-\xi\,{}^t\mu+\eta\,{}^t\lambda)\circ(\lambda,\mu,0).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_\phi(\lambda+\xi,\mu+\eta) &= \phi((\lambda+\xi,\mu+\eta,0))\\ &= \phi((\xi,\eta,\,-\xi\,{}^t\mu+\eta\,{}^t\lambda)\circ(\lambda,\mu,0))\\ &=\, e^{2\pi i\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\xi\,{}^t\eta+\lambda\,{}^t\eta-\mu\,{}^t\xi)\}}\,\phi((\lambda,\mu,0))\\ &=\, e^{2\pi i\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\xi\,{}^t\eta+\lambda\,{}^t\eta-\mu\,{}^t\xi)\}}\,E_\phi(\lambda,\mu). \end{aligned}$$

This proves Formula (4.10). We observe that

$$[\lambda+\xi,\mu+\eta,0] = (\xi,\eta,\,-\xi\,{}^t\mu-\mu\,{}^t\xi-\eta\,{}^t\xi)\circ[\lambda,\mu,0].$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_\phi(\lambda+\xi,\mu+\eta) &= \phi([\lambda+\xi,\mu+\eta,0]) \\ &= e^{-2\pi i\,\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\xi\,{}^t\mu+\mu\,{}^t\xi+\eta\,{}^t\xi)\}} \\ &\qquad \times e^{2\pi i\,\sigma(\mathcal{M}\xi\,{}^t\eta)}\,\phi([\lambda,\mu,0]) \\ &= e^{-4\pi i\,\sigma(\mathcal{M}\xi\,{}^t\mu)}\,\phi([\lambda,\mu,0]) \\ &= e^{-4\pi i\,\sigma(\mathcal{M}\xi\,{}^t\mu)}\,F_\phi(\lambda,\mu). \end{aligned}$$

This proves Formula (4.11). According to (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\Omega,\phi}(\lambda+\xi,\mu+\eta) &= e^{-2\pi i\,\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\lambda+\xi)\Omega\,{}^t(\lambda+\xi)\}}\,F_\phi(\lambda+\xi,\mu+\eta) \\ &= e^{-2\pi i\,\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\lambda+\xi)\Omega\,{}^t(\lambda+\xi)\}} \\ &\qquad \times e^{-4\pi i\,\sigma(\mathcal{M}\xi\,{}^t\mu)}\,F_\phi(\lambda,\mu) \\ &= e^{-2\pi i\,\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\xi\Omega\,{}^t\xi+2\lambda\Omega\,{}^t\xi+2\mu\,{}^t\xi)\}} \\ &\qquad \times e^{-2\pi i\,\sigma(\mathcal{M}\lambda\Omega\,{}^t\lambda)}\,F_\phi(\lambda,\mu) \\ &= e^{-2\pi i\,\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\xi\Omega\,{}^t\xi+2\lambda\Omega\,{}^t\xi+2\mu\,{}^t\xi)\}}\,F_{\Omega,\phi}(\lambda,\mu). \end{aligned}$$

This proves Formula (4.12). Formula (4.13) follows immediately from Formula (4.12). Indeed, if $W=\lambda\Omega+\mu$ with $\lambda,\mu\in\mathbb{R}^{(h,g)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{\Omega,\phi}(W+\xi\Omega+\eta) &= F_{\Omega,\phi}(\lambda+\xi,\mu+\eta) \\ &= e^{-2\pi i\,\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\xi\Omega\,{}^t\xi+2(\lambda\Omega+\mu)\,{}^t\xi)\}}\,F_{\Omega,\phi}(\lambda,\mu) \\ &= e^{-2\pi i\,\sigma\{\mathcal{M}(\xi\Omega\,{}^t\xi+2W\,{}^t\xi)\}}\,\vartheta_{\Omega,\phi}(W). \end{aligned}$$

□

REMARK 4.2. The function $\vartheta_{\Omega,\phi}(W)$ is a theta function of level $2\mathcal{M}$ with respect to Ω if $\vartheta_{\Omega,\phi}$ is holomorphic. For any $\phi\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}}$, the function $\vartheta_{\Omega,\phi}$ satisfies the well known transformation law of a theta function. In this sense, the lattice representation $(\pi_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}},\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M},q_{\mathcal{M}}})$ is closely related to theta functions.

REFERENCES

[**B**] R. Berndt, *Darstellungen der Heisenberggruppe und Thetafunktionen. Vorlesungsausarbeitung*, Hamburg, 1988.

[**C**] P. Cartier, *Quantum Mechanical Commutation Relations and Theta Functions, Proc. of Symp. Pure Mathematics,* **9**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1966, pp. 361-383.

[**F-C**] G. Faltings and C.-L. Chai, *Degeneration of Abelian Varieties,* EMG, Band **22**, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1990.

[**I**] J. Igusa, *Theta functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1972.

[**M**] G. W. Mackey, *Induced Representations of Locally Compact Groups I*, Ann. of Math. **55** (1952), 101-139.

[**N**] Y. Namikawa, *Toroidal Compactification of Siegel Spaces, Lect. Notes in Math. 812*, Springer-Verlag, New York /Berlin, 1980.

[**Y1**] J.-H. Yang, *Harmonic Analysis on the Quotient Spaces of Heisenberg Groups*, Nagoya Math. J. **123** (1991), 103-117.

[**Y2**] ______, *Harmonic Analysis on the Quotient Spaces of Heisenberg Groups, II*, J. Number Theory **49** (1994), 63-72.

[**Y3**] ______, *A decomposition theorem on differential polynomials of theta functions of high level*, Japanese J. Math., Math. Soc. Japan, New Series **22** (1996), 37-49.

[**Y4**] ______, *The Siegel-Jacobi Operator*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **63** (1993), 135-146.

[**Y5**] ______, *Remarks on Jacobi forms of higher degree*, Proc. of the 1993 Workshop on Automorphic Forms and Related Topics, PIMS (1993), 33-58.

[**Y6**] ______, *Singular Jacobi Forms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), 2041-2049.

[**Y7**] ______, *Construction of Vector-Valued Modular Forms from Jacobi Forms*, Canadian J. Math. **47** (1995), 1329-1339.

[**Y8**] ______, *A geometrical theory of Jacobi forms of higher degree*, Proc. of Symposium on Hodge Theory and Algebraic Geometry (edited by Tadao Oda), Sendai, Japan (1996), 125-147.

[**Z**] C. Ziegler, *Jacobi Forms of Higher Degree*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **59** (1989), 191-224.

Max-Planck Institut für Mathematik
Gottfried-Claren-Strasse 26
D-53225 Bonn
Germany

The present address is

Department of Mathematics
Inha University
Inchon 402-751
Republic of Korea

E-MAIL : JHYANG@INHA.AC.KR